

AT&T gives customers a free LifeMD membership

TL;DR: AT&T's offer removes a membership fee, making virtual care easier to try—but patients still pay for services.

For PSA/IHPF, the bigger development is **healthcare reaching people through companies they already use. The opportunity is to connect that access with local diagnostics, navigation, and follow-through.**

Here are my **10 most important takeaways**, with two qualifications the article misses.

1. **“Free membership” does not mean free healthcare.**

The \$19 monthly fee is waived, but visits start at **\$29 for messaging, \$49 for primary/urgent video care, and \$79 for specialty care**. Prescriptions and other services cost extra. The advertised \$228 value represents avoided membership charges, not money patients receive. [AT&T announcement](#)

My assessment: A useful reduction in the entry cost, but affordability should be judged by the total cost of getting a health problem addressed.

2. **Healthcare distribution is expanding beyond healthcare organizations.**

AT&T supplies the customer relationship; LifeMD supplies the care platform. **My interpretation:** This illustrates how an established consumer business can introduce healthcare services without building clinics.

For PSA, it raises a useful question: Which trusted local organizations could help residents discover and use appropriate care?

3. **New Mexico is included from the beginning.**

New Mexico is among the 11 initial states. Enrollment is required, customers must be at least 18, and service availability varies. Additional markets are planned for January 2027. [AT&T eligibility details](#)

PSA implication: This is a concrete service to evaluate through a patient's experience—eligibility, booking, charges, and follow-up.

4. **Chronic-condition follow-up is a major telehealth use case.**

The Utah research found substantial virtual-care use for common conditions such as diabetes and high blood pressure. [University of Utah Health](#)

My assessment: For IHPF, repeated follow-up deserves as much attention as initial screening. A diagnostic result becomes useful when someone reviews it, explains it, and takes responsibility for the next step.

5. **The article overstates the population covered by the Utah statistics.**

Its estimates of **31 million mental-health and 29 million other annual telehealth visits concern the Medicare population**, using 2021–2023 data. They are not totals for all Americans. [Study description](#)

Why it matters: The finding supports telehealth's broader role, but PSA should preserve that qualification when citing it.

6. **Virtual care has durable adoption—but growth figures need context.**

Strata reports telehealth encounters rose 79% and remote monitoring nearly 4,000% between January 2019 and January 2026 in its data. These are findings from a provider database, not a census of every U.S. encounter. [Strata report](#)

My assessment: Large percentage growth alone does not establish how many people benefit, clinical effectiveness, or savings.

7. **The article leaves out a significant financial warning.**

The same Strata release reports **negative average total-cost margins for health-system telehealth across payer categories in 2025**. That finding does not establish LifeMD's profitability. [Strata financial findings](#)

PSA implication: Pilot budgets must account for clinician time, navigation, testing, administration, and follow-up. Popularity does not guarantee financial sustainability.

8. **A broad service menu does not establish coordinated care.**

The announcement lists prescriptions, laboratory services, weight management, and other specialties, but does not demonstrate how records and follow-up connect with a patient's existing clinicians. [AT&T service description](#)

My assessment: Coordination is a key evaluation question: Who receives results, resolves conflicting instructions, and arranges necessary in-person care?

9. **This announcement does not demonstrate an AI healthcare breakthrough.**

It describes access to state-licensed providers through a virtual platform; it supplies no evidence of AI-driven clinical improvements. [AT&T announcement](#)

PSA implication: Evaluate digital access, clinical quality, and any AI capabilities separately. Each needs its own evidence.

10. 🟢 **IHPF's strongest opportunity may be making available services work locally.**

My strategic judgment: Evaluate whether an existing virtual-care provider could support the clinician component of a pilot, alongside neighborhood diagnostics and community navigators.

The practical test is whether residents complete needed care: **less travel, understandable charges, timely review of results, completed referrals, and clear responsibility for follow-up.** Those outcomes would be more meaningful than enrollment numbers alone.